

We love XM radio; the signal is very clear and we can get the signal all over the country. I have used the instant traffic and weather a number of times traveling to Maryland and Washington and it has alerted us to conditions on the roadway. So too the instant traffic in NYC, although like all other traffic commentators, they are usually delayed, but it alerts us to what was and we make accommodations to the same. I subscribe to XM because I appreciate their clear signal, the quality of the sound, the wealth of digital channel choices and that I can receive the signal even in signal poor areas like upstate NY or upper Maryland. I look forward to my road trip this summer where instead of listening to scratchy FM or AM local programming, we can relax with classical, comedy or rock, wherever the mood takes us. I pay for this digital programming and do not believe that the FCC should be involved with limited my choices. FM and AM radio is free; it is clear that FM/AM stations could not be hurt by XM providiing this programming to its listeners, who PAY for the service. Why is the FCC trying to limit competition and even countenancing this petition? Thank you for your courtesy in reading this letter. Carole Geronimo